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1. Introduction

Multilayered structures show a piece-wise continuous displacement field in the thickness plate/shell direction. This change in slope between two adjacent layers, that are considered to be perfectly bonded together, is known as the zig-zag (ZZ) effect, see Fig. 1. The different transverse (both shear and normal components) deformability of the layers is the source of the ZZ effect. Furthermore, these transverse strains are linked with transverse shear and normal stresses that, for equilibrium reasons, are continuous at the each layer interface. These equilibrium conditions are known as interlaminar continuity (IC) for transverse stresses.

There are several possibilities to take into account ZZ and IC in multilayered structures [1–6]. Some of these have been developed in the framework of layer-wise (LW) models, in which the number of the unknown variables depend on the number of layers, but they could result computational expensive, for laminates with large number of layers. Other theories have been formulated in the framework of equivalent single-layer (ESL) models, in which the unknown variables are the same for the whole laminate. The resulting theories are often denoted as zig-zag theories (ZZT). Among the ZZT, three independent approaches are known. These have been denoted in [7] as Lekhnitskii multilayered theory, Ambartsuniam multilayered theory and Reissner multilayered theory. LMT and AMT describe the ZZ effect by enforcing IC via constitutive equations of the layer along with strain-displacement relations. Independent assumptions for displacement and transverse stresses are instead made in the RMT applications.

In the framework of RMT applications, Murakami [8] introduced a function of the thickness coordinate able to emulate the ZZ effect. In [9], such a function was denoted as ‘Murakami zig-zag function’ (MZZF). MZZF has been used in [8–13] to analyse static response of layered plates and shells in conjunction of RMT applications. Mixed finite elements for plates and shells have been developed in [14–18]. MZZF has been also applied in the framework of plate/shell theories using only displacement variables [13,19]. From implementation point of view, the inclusion of MZZF in existing plate models requires the same efforts that are required by the inclusion of an additional degree of freedom. On the other hand, from numerical point of view, as it will be clear in this paper, inclusion of MZZF leads to significant improvements of the existing plate theories; however, these improvements are difficult to be obtained by the use of other functions which differ by MZZF. An extensive evaluation of the use of MZZF has made in [20,21] using an analytical formulation and in [22] using the finite element method.

In the present work the attention is restricted to the application of ZZF to bending, vibration and buckling analysis of laminated plates by a local collocation scheme with radial basis functions and finite differences. A new displacement theory is used, introducing a quadratic variation of the transverse displacements. This can be seen as a variation of the Murakami’s ZZ displacement field [8]. The use of global collocation based on radial basis functions (RBF) has been proposed by Kansa [23] who introduced the concept of solving PDEs by an unsymmetric RBF collocation method based upon the MQ interpolation functions. Unfortunately, this
approach produces dense, ill-conditioned, matrices. In order to improve the conditioning number of matrix $A$, a local collocation approach was formulated by Tolstykh et al. [24], Cecil et al. [25] and Wright and Fornberg [26], in the so-called RBF-FD technique.

The authors have recently applied the global RBF collocation to the static deformations of composite beams and plates [27–29].

In this paper, it is investigated for the first time how the Unified Formulation can be combined with a local radial basis functions–finite differences scheme to the analysis of thick laminated plates, using a variation of the Murakami’s zig-zag function, allowing for through-the-thickness deformations. The quality of the present method in predicting static deformations, free vibrations and buckling loads of thin and thick laminated plates is compared and discussed with other methods in some numerical examples.

2. The RBF-FD method

For the sake of completeness, the basic formulation of the RBF-FD method is presented. The finite difference method approximates derivatives of a function $u(x)$ at a point $x = x_i$ by:

$$
\frac{d^k u(x_i)}{dx^k} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \phi(x_i)
$$

(1)

where $w_i$ are weights. In classical finite difference formulas, nodes from $i$ to $n$ are equidistant and weights are computed using polynomial interpolation. This limitation of the spatial distribution of nodes is not desirable for more generic problems. However, using RBFs as an interpolant, a scattered distribution of nodes can be used. Radial basis functions basically depend on the distance between points.

Consider a set of nodes $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The radial basis functions centered at $x_i$ are defined as

$$
\phi(x) = \phi(||x - x_i||) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n
$$

(2)

where $||x - x_i||$ is the Euclidian norm. Radial basis functions rely on the euclidean distance between nodes and in some cases on a user-defined shape parameter $c$.

Although many RBF functions could be used, some of the most used RBFs are [23]:

- Multiquadrics: $\phi(x) = (||x - x_i||^2 + c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- Inverse Multiquadrics: $\phi(x) = (||x - x_i||^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
- Gaussians: $\phi(x) = e^{-c^2||x - x_i||^2}$
- Thin Plate Splines: $\phi(x) = ||x - x_i||^2 \log ||x - x_i||$

The approximate solution of a PDE can be represented by a linear combination of smooth radial basis functions, for $n$ grid points $u(x) \approx s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \phi(x - x_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N$

(3)

or in matrix-vector notation:

$$
\mathbf{u} = A \mathbf{z}
$$

(4)

where $A = \phi(x - x_i)$.

Using a global collocation method, we apply a linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ to Eq. (3) to obtain:

$$
\mathcal{L}s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L} \phi_i(x - x_i)
$$

(5)

In this local RBF-FD collocation approach we compute weights $p_i$ such that:

$$
\mathcal{L}u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i u(x_i)
$$

(6)

For each node, weights $p_i$ are computed on a subset $\chi_i = [1, \ldots, n_i]$ of the original set of points $\chi = [1, \ldots, n]$ (see Fig. 2).

Subsets $\chi_i$ can have an irregular distribution of points and different subsets can have different number of points, increasing the flexibility of the present formulation. In the present paper we usually fix a support distance and consider the nodes within the circle, for each node (also denoted as center).

In order to derive the RBF-FD formulation, an interpolant (3) is considered in a Lagrangean form as

$$
s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} \psi_i(x) u(x_i)
$$

(7)

where $\psi_i(x_k) = \delta_{ik}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$.
A closed form solution exists for the case \( \psi_i(x_i) = \delta_{ik} \), and is given by [30]:

\[
\psi_i(x) = \frac{\det(A_i(x))}{\det(A)}
\]  

where \( A_i(x) \) is obtained by replacing rows \( i \) in matrix \( A \) (defined in (4)) by vector

\[
B = [\phi(x - x_1) \phi(x - x_2) \cdots \phi(x - x_N)]
\]

The approximation of derivative \( \mathcal{D} u(x) \) is now performed by applying the linear differential operator on the interpolant in (7) as

\[
\mathcal{D} u(x) \approx \mathcal{D} S(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathcal{D} \psi_i(x_i) u_i
\]

From (10) and (6) it can be shown that \( p_i = \mathcal{D} \psi_i(x_i) \), where such weights are computed by solving the linear system

\[
\mathbf{D} \mathbf{p} = [\mathcal{D}^T \mathbf{B}(x_i)]
\]

In (11), \( \mathbf{B}(x) \) was computed in Eq. (9), \( \mathbf{D} \) is a matrix containing the RBF functions, and \( \mathbf{p} \) is a matrix of weights.

For the static and free vibration analysis of plates in bending, a boundary value problem with domain \( \Omega \) and boundary \( \partial \Omega \) is considered. The PDE problem is defined as:

\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{D} \mathbf{u}(x) = \mathbf{f}(x), & x \in \Omega \\
\mathcal{D} \mathbf{u}(x) = \mathbf{g}(x), & x \in \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \mathcal{D} \) is a linear differential operator in space, \( \mathbf{f} \) represents \( \mathbf{d} \mathcal{L} \), and \( \mathbf{g} \) is a linear differential operator related to boundary conditions. In the static case, we impose \( \mathcal{D} \mathbf{u}(x) = 0 \) and we compute weights \( \mathbf{p} \) for each node \( i \) by solving the linear system of equations

\[
\mathbf{D} \mathbf{p} = [\mathcal{D}^T \mathbf{B}(x_i)]
\]

where the operator \( \mathcal{D} \) is defined by \( \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^T \) in case \( i \in \Omega \) or by \( \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \) in case \( i \in \partial \Omega \).

Solution \( \mathbf{u} \) can now be found by assembling the \( \mathbf{p} \) weights in global matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) and then solving the linear algebraic collocation system:

\[
\mathbf{M} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}
\]

where \( \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{ii} & \mathbf{M}_{ib} \\ \mathbf{M}_{bi} & \mathbf{M}_{bb} \end{bmatrix} \) is the matrix of weights; \( \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}^0 \\ \mathbf{u}^1 \end{bmatrix} \) is the vector of global unknowns and \( \mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}(x) \\ \mathbf{g}(x) \end{bmatrix} \) is the vector of external forces.

In the case of plates in bending, \( \mathbf{f}(x) \) represent applied forces on the domain and \( \mathbf{g}(x) \) the (essential and natural) boundary conditions.

Assuming an harmonic solution \( \mathbf{u}(x,y,z,t) = \mathbf{U}(x,y,z) e^{i \omega t} \) for the free vibration problem, Eq. (12) is rewritten as:

\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{D} \mathbf{U}(x) = -\omega^2 \mathbf{U}(x), & x \in \Omega \\
\mathcal{D} \mathbf{U}(x) = 0;
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \omega \) is the frequency of natural vibration. The problem defined in (15) in then computed as generalized eigenproblem:

\[
\mathbf{M} \mathbf{X} = \omega^2 \mathbf{K} \mathbf{X}
\]

where \( \omega \) are natural frequencies, and \( \mathbf{X} \) are the vectors of modes of vibration. The matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) was computed in (14), and matrix \( \mathbf{K} \) containing weights \( \mathbf{p} \) for each node \( i \) is build using Eq. (13) using operators \( \mathcal{D} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \), depending on \( i \) being a domain or boundary node, respectively.

3. The Murakami's zig-zag function

Let us consider a laminated plate composed of perfectly bonded layers, being \( z \) the thickness coordinate of the whole plate while \( z_i \) is the layer thickness coordinate. \( a \) and \( h \) are length and thickness of the square laminated plate, respectively. The adimensional layer coordinate \( z_k = (2z_k)/h \) is further introduced (\( h_k \) is the thickness of the \( k \)th layer). The Murakami's zig-zag function \( Z(z) \) was defined according to the following formula [8]

\[
Z(z) = (-1)^{h_z} \frac{z}{h}
\]

\( Z(z) \) has the following properties:

1. It is a piece-wise linear function of layer coordinates \( z_k \).
2. \( Z(z) \) has unit amplitude for the whole layers.
3. The slope \( Z'(z) = \frac{1}{h} \) assumes opposite sign between two-adjacent layers. Its amplitude is layer thickness independent.

A possible FSDT theory has been investigated by Carrera [31] and Demasi [32], ignoring the through-the-thickness deformations:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{u}_0 + \mathbf{u}_1 + (-1)^k \frac{2}{h_k} \left( z - \frac{1}{2} (z_k + z_{k+1}) \right) \mathbf{u}_z \\
\mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{v}_1 + (-1)^k \frac{2}{h_k} \left( z - \frac{1}{2} (z_k + z_{k+1}) \right) \mathbf{v}_z \\
\mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 + z^2 \mathbf{w}_2
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( z_k, z_{k+1} \) are the bottom and top \( z \)-coordinates at each layer. Eq. (24) can be seen as an alternative to the original zig-zag function by Murakami.

4. The Unified Formulation

The Unified Formulation (UF) proposed by Carrera [9,33–35], also known as CUF, is a powerful framework for the analysis of beams, plates and shells. The salient feature of CUF is the unified manner in which all considered variables (displacements and stresses) can be treated. This formulation has been applied in several finite element analysis, either using the Principle of Virtual Displacements, or by using the Reissner's Mixed Variational theorem. The stiffness matrix components, the external force terms or the inertia terms can be obtained directly with this UF, irrespective of the shear deformation theory being considered.

In this section, the fundamental nuclei are obtained by means of the Carrera's Unified Formulation. These allow the derivation of the equations of motion and boundary conditions, in weak form for the finite element analysis; and in strong form for the present RBF collocation.

4.1. Governing equations and boundary conditions in the framework of Unified Formulation

If a multi-layered plate with \( N_l \) layers is considered, the Principle of Virtual Displacements (PVD) for the pure-mechanical case reads:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} \left\{ \delta \epsilon_{pc}^k \sigma_{pc} + \delta \epsilon_{tg}^k \cdot \sigma_{tg} \right\} dz_k = \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \delta \mathbf{u}_k
\]
where $\Omega_k$ and $A_k$ are the integration domains in plane ($x, y$) and $z$ direction, respectively. Here, $k$ indicates the layer and $T$ the transpose of a vector, and $\delta^n_k$ is the external work for the $k$th layer. $G$ means geometrical relations and $C$ constitutive equations.

The steps to obtain the governing equations are:

- Substitution of the geometrical relations (script G).
- Substitution of the appropriate constitutive equations (script C).
- Introduction of the Unified Formulation.

Stresses and strains are separated into in-plane and normal components, denoted respectively by the subscripts $p$ and $n$. The mechanical strains in the $k$th layer can be related to the displacement field $u^k = \{ u^k_x, u^k_y, u^k_z \}$ via the geometrical relations:

$$\epsilon^k_{pc} = [\epsilon_{xx}, \epsilon_{yy}, \gamma_{xy}]^T = D^k_p u^k,$$
$$\epsilon^k_{nc} = [\gamma_{xz}, \gamma_{yz}, \epsilon_{zz}]^T = (D^k_{np} + D^k_{nn}) u^k,$$

wherein the differential operator arrays are defined as follows:

$$D^k_p = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_y & 0 \\ \partial_y & \partial_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D^k_{np} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \partial_x \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D^k_{nn} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_z \end{bmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

The 3D constitutive equations are given as:

$$\sigma^k_{pc} = C^k_{pp} \epsilon^k_{pc} + C^k_{pn} \epsilon^k_{nc},$$
$$\sigma^k_{nc} = C^k_{np} \epsilon^k_{pc} + C^k_{nn} \epsilon^k_{nc},$$

with

$$C^k_{pp} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{16} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{26} \\ C_{16} & C_{26} & C_{66} \end{bmatrix}, \quad C^k_{pn} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{36} \end{bmatrix}, \quad C^k_{np} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & C_{55} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{55} & C_{45} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C^k_{nn} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{44} & C_{45} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

According to the Unified Formulation by Carrera, the three displacement components $u_x, u_y$, and $u_z$ and their relative variations can be modelled as:

$$(u_x, u_y, u_z) = F_z (u_{z1}, u_{z2}, u_{z3}), \quad (\delta u_x, \delta u_y, \delta u_z) = F_z (\delta u_{z1}, \delta u_{z2}, \delta u_{z3}),$$

where $F_z$ and $F_1$ can be general functions of the thickness coordinate $z$. A Taylor expansion from first up to 4th order: $F_0 = z^0 = 1$, $F_1 = z^1 = z, \ldots$, $F_8 = z^8$. $F_4 = z^4$ is taken if an Equivalent Single Layer (ESL) approach is used.

Substituting the geometrical relations, the constitutive equations and the Unified Formulation into the variational statement PVD, for the $k$th layer, one obtains the governing equations for a multi-layered plate subjected to mechanical loadings:

$$\delta u^k_{tt} : K_{ctt}^k u^k = P_{tt}^k,$$

and the corresponding Neumann-type boundary conditions on $\partial \Omega_k$:

$$\Pi_{ctt}^k u^k = \Pi_{gtt}^k u^k,$$

where $K_{ctt}^k$ and $\Pi_{ctt}^k$ are the fundamental nuclei and $P_{tt}^k$ are variationally consistent loads with applied pressure. The explicit forms of the fundamental nuclei are given in Appendix A. For more details about the mathematical passages to obtain the governing equations and boundary conditions one can refer to [36].

4.2. Dynamic governing equations

The PVD for the dynamic case is expressed as:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \int_{\Omega_k} \int_{\partial \Omega_k} \{\delta \epsilon^{k, r}_p \sigma^{k, r}_p + \delta \epsilon^{k, r}_n \sigma^{k, r}_n\} d\Omega_k dz = \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \int_{\Omega_k} \rho^k \delta u^{kt} \dot{u}^k d\Omega_k dz + \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \delta L_k,$$

where $\rho^k$ is the mass density of the $k$th layer and double dots denote acceleration.

By substituting the geometrical relations, the constitutive equations and the Unified Formulation, we obtain the following governing equations:

$$\delta u^k_{tt} : K_{ctt}^k u^k = M_{ctt}^k u^k + P^k_{tt},$$

In the case of free vibrations one has:

$$\delta u^k_{tt} : K_{ctt}^k u^k = M_{ctt}^k u^k,$$

where $M_{ctt}^k$ is the fundamental nucleus for the inertial term. For the explicit expression of $M_{ctt}^k$, see Appendix A.

The geometrical and mechanical boundary conditions are the same of the static case.

It is interesting to note that under this combination of the Unified Formulation and RBF collocation, the collocation code depends only on the choice of $F_z, F_0$ in order to solve this type of problems. We designed a MATLAB code that just by changing $F_z, F_0$ can analyse static deformations, free vibrations and buckling loads for any type of $C$ shear deformation theory. An obvious advantage of the present methodology is that the tedious derivation of the equations of motion and boundary conditions for a particular shear deformation theory is no longer a problem.

5. Numerical examples

A Chebyshev grid and a Wendland function, given by

$$\phi(r) = (1 - cr)^8 (32(cr)^3 + 25(cr)^2 + 8cr + 1)$$

is considered in all forthcoming examples. The shape parameter $c$ was obtained by an optimization procedure, as detailed in Ferreira and Fasshauer [37]. We consider a plate with side $a = 2$, and a local support distance $d_{max} = 1.5$

5.1. Static problems-cross-ply laminated plates

A simply supported square laminated plate of side $a$ and thickness $h$ is composed of four equally layers oriented at $[0^\circ/90^\circ/90^\circ/ 0^\circ]$. The plate is subjected to a sinusoidal vertical pressure of the form

$$p_z = P \sin \left( \frac{\pi x}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi y}{a} \right),$$

with the origin of the coordinate system located at the lower left corner on the midplane and $P$ the maximum load (at center of plate).

The orthotropic material properties for each layer are given by

$$E_1 = 25.0 E_2 \quad G_{12} = G_{13} = 0.5 E_2 \quad G_{23} = 0.2 E_2 \quad \nu_{12} = 0.25$$

The in-plane displacements, the transverse displacements, the normal stresses and the in-plane and transverse shear stresses are presented in normalized form as

\[ \text{w} = \frac{10^3 w_{0,2a,2b,h}^2}{Pa^2}, \quad \sigma_{xx} = \frac{\sigma_{xxv0,2a,2b,h}^2}{Pa^2}, \quad \sigma_{yy} = \frac{\sigma_{yyv0,2a,2b,h}^2}{Pa^2}, \quad \tau_{xz} = \frac{\tau_{xzv0,2a,2b,h}^2}{Pa^2}, \quad \tau_{xy} = \frac{\tau_{xyv0,2a,2b,h}^2}{Pa^2}. \]

In Table 1, we present results for the present ZZ theory, using 11 \times 11 up to 21 \times 21 points. We compare results with higher-order solutions by Reddy [38], FSDT solutions by Reddy and Chao [39], and an exact solution by Pagano [40]. Our ZZ theory produces excellent results, when compared with other HSDT theories, for all \( a/h \) ratios, for transverse displacements, normal stresses and transverse shear stresses. In Fig. 3, the \( \sigma_{xx} \) evolution across the thickness direction is illustrated, for \( a/h = 4 \), using 21 \times 21 points. In Fig. 4, the \( \tau_{xz} \) evolution across the thickness direction is illustrated, for \( a/h = 4 \), using 21 \times 21 points. Note that the transverse shear stresses are obtained directly from the constitutive equations.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( h \times h )</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>( \text{w} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_{xx} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_{yy} )</th>
<th>( \tau_{xz} )</th>
<th>( \tau_{xy} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HSDT [38]</td>
<td>1.8937</td>
<td>0.6651</td>
<td>0.6322</td>
<td>0.2064</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FSDT [39]</td>
<td>1.7100</td>
<td>0.4099</td>
<td>0.5765</td>
<td>0.1398</td>
<td>0.0308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>elasticity [40]</td>
<td>1.954</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.0467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (11 \times 11 grid)</td>
<td>1.8928</td>
<td>0.6405</td>
<td>0.8505</td>
<td>0.2160</td>
<td>0.0436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (13 \times 13 grid)</td>
<td>1.8931</td>
<td>0.6408</td>
<td>0.8506</td>
<td>0.2160</td>
<td>0.0436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (17 \times 17 grid)</td>
<td>1.8931</td>
<td>0.6408</td>
<td>0.8506</td>
<td>0.2160</td>
<td>0.0436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (21 \times 21 grid)</td>
<td>1.8931</td>
<td>0.6408</td>
<td>0.8506</td>
<td>0.2160</td>
<td>0.0436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HSDT [38]</td>
<td>0.7147</td>
<td>0.5456</td>
<td>0.3888</td>
<td>0.2640</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FSDT [39]</td>
<td>0.6628</td>
<td>0.4989</td>
<td>0.3615</td>
<td>0.1667</td>
<td>0.0241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>elasticity [40]</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.0276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (11 \times 11 grid)</td>
<td>0.7223</td>
<td>0.5457</td>
<td>0.4196</td>
<td>0.2976</td>
<td>0.0269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (13 \times 13 grid)</td>
<td>0.7227</td>
<td>0.5460</td>
<td>0.4193</td>
<td>0.2979</td>
<td>0.0269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (17 \times 17 grid)</td>
<td>0.7227</td>
<td>0.5460</td>
<td>0.4194</td>
<td>0.2979</td>
<td>0.0269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (21 \times 21 grid)</td>
<td>0.7227</td>
<td>0.5460</td>
<td>0.4194</td>
<td>0.2978</td>
<td>0.0269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>HSDT [38]</td>
<td>0.4343</td>
<td>0.5387</td>
<td>0.2708</td>
<td>0.2897</td>
<td>0.0213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FSDT [39]</td>
<td>0.4337</td>
<td>0.5382</td>
<td>0.2705</td>
<td>0.1780</td>
<td>0.0213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>elasticity [40]</td>
<td>0.4347</td>
<td>0.5339</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (11 \times 11 grid)</td>
<td>0.4137</td>
<td>0.5203</td>
<td>0.2643</td>
<td>0.3125</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (13 \times 13 grid)</td>
<td>0.4349</td>
<td>0.5426</td>
<td>0.2723</td>
<td>0.3393</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (17 \times 17 grid)</td>
<td>0.4296</td>
<td>0.5366</td>
<td>0.2701</td>
<td>0.3346</td>
<td>0.0211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (21 \times 21 grid)</td>
<td>0.4294</td>
<td>0.5364</td>
<td>0.2699</td>
<td>0.3345</td>
<td>0.0211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2. Free vibration problems—cross-ply laminated plates

In this example, all layers of the laminate are assumed to be of the same thickness, density and made of the same linearly elastic composite material. The following material parameters of a layer are used:

\[ E_i = 10, 20, 30 \quad \text{or} \quad 40; \quad G_{12} = G_{13} = 0.6E_2; \quad G_3 = 0.5E_2; \quad \nu_{13} = 0.25 \]

The subscripts 1 and 2 denote the directions normal and transverse to the fiber direction in a lamina, which may be oriented at an angle to the plate axes. The ply angle of each layer is measured from the global x-axis to the fiber direction.

The example considered is a simply supported square plate of the cross-ply lamination [0°/90°/90°/0°]. The thickness and length of the plate are denoted by \( h \) and \( a \), respectively. The thickness-to-span ratio \( h/a = 0.2 \) is employed in the computation. Table 2 lists the fundamental frequency of the simply supported laminate made of various modulus ratios of \( E_i/E_2 \). It is found that the present meshless results are in very close agreement with the values of Reddy and Khdeir [5,41] and the meshfree results of Liew [42] based on the FSDT.

### 5.3. Buckling examples

Three-layer [0°/90°/0°] and four-layer [0°/90°/90°/0°] square cross-ply laminates are chosen to compute the uni- and bi-axial buckling loads. The plate has width \( a \) and thickness \( h \). The span-to-thickness ratio \( a/h \) is taken to be 10. All layers are assumed to be of the same thickness and material properties:

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>( E_i/E_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exact (Reddy, Khdeir) [5,41]</td>
<td>8.2962</td>
<td>9.5671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (( \nu_{13} = 0.25 ))</td>
<td>8.4139</td>
<td>9.6627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13 × 13</td>
<td>8.4142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 × 21</td>
<td>8.4142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Normalized transverse \( \tau_{xz} \) stress for \( a/h = 10, 21 \times 21 \) points.
$E_1/E_2 = 40; G_{12}/E_2 = G_{13}/E_2 = 0.6; G_{23}/E_2 = 0.5; v_{12} = 0.25$

Table 3 lists the uni-axial buckling loads of the four-layer simply supported laminated plate. Exact solutions by Khdeir and Librescu [43] and differential quadrature results by Liew et al. [44] based on the FSDT are also presented for comparison. It is found that the critical buckling load is obtained with a few grid points. The present results are in excellent correlation with those of Khdeir and Librescu [43], and those of Liew et al. [44]. Fig. 5 shows the first four buckling modes, for uni-axial buckling load of four-layer [0°\/90°/90°/0°] simply supported laminated plate ($N = N_{0x}a^2/(E_2h^3)$, $N_y = 0$, $N_{0y} = 0$), using a grid of $13 \times 13$ points.

Table 4 tabulates the bi-axial buckling loads of the [0°/90°/0°] laminated plate. The laminated plate is simply supported along the edges parallel to the $x$-axis while the other two edges may be simply supported (S), or clamped (C). The notations SS, SC, and CC refer to the boundary conditions of the two edges parallel to the $x$-axis only.

In Fig. 6, it is illustrated the first four buckling modes for bi-axial buckling load of three-layer [0°/90°/0°] simply supported laminated plate ($N = N_{0x}a^2/(E_2h^3)$, $N_y = 0$, $N_{0y} - N_{0x}$), grid $17 \times 17$ points.

![Fig. 5. First four buckling modes: uni-axial buckling load of four-layer [0°/90°/90°/0°] simply supported laminated plate ($N = N_{0x}a^2/(E_2h^3)$, $N_y = 0$, $N_{0y} = 0$), grid $13 \times 13$ points.](image)

![Fig. 6. First four buckling modes: bi-axial buckling load of three-layer [0°/90°/0°] simply supported (SSSS) laminated plate ($N = N_{0x}a^2/(E_2h^3)$, $N_y = 0$, $N_{0y} - N_{0x}$), grid $17 \times 17$ points.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Liew et al. [44]</th>
<th>Khdeir and Librescu [43]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 x 13</td>
<td>23.8097</td>
<td>23.463</td>
<td>23.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 x 17</td>
<td>23.8110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 x 21</td>
<td>23.8110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 4](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>CC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 x 13</td>
<td>10.1941</td>
<td>11.7609</td>
<td>13.6646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 x 17</td>
<td>10.1907</td>
<td>11.7560</td>
<td>13.6631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 x 21</td>
<td>10.1907</td>
<td>11.7527</td>
<td>13.6629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liew et al. [44]</td>
<td>10.178</td>
<td>11.5757</td>
<td>13.260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Fig. 7, it is illustrated the first four buckling modes for bi-axial buckling load of three-layer [0°/90°/0°] SCSC laminated plate ($N = N_{0x}a^2/(E_2h^3)$, $N_y = 0$, $N_{0y} - N_{0x}$), grid $17 \times 17$ points.

![Fig. 7. First four buckling modes: bi-axial buckling load of three-layer [0°/90°/0°] SCSC laminated plate ($N = N_{0x}a^2/(E_2h^3)$, $N_y = 0$, $N_{0y} - N_{0x}$), grid $17 \times 17$ points.](image)

In Fig. 8, it is illustrated the first four buckling modes for bi-axial buckling load of three-layer [0°/90°/0°] SSSS laminated plate ($N = N_{0x}a^2/(E_2h^3)$, $N_y = 0$, $N_{0y} - N_{0x}$), using a grid of $17 \times 17$ points.

![Fig. 8. First four buckling modes: bi-axial buckling load of three-layer [0°/90°/0°] SSSS laminated plate ($N = N_{0x}a^2/(E_2h^3)$, $N_y = 0$, $N_{0y} - N_{0x}$), using a grid of $17 \times 17$ points.](image)

It is found that excellent agreement is achieved for all edge conditions considered when comparing the results obtained by the present local radial basis function–finite differences approach with the FSDT solutions by [43], and those of Liew et al. [44], who use a MLSDQ approach. Note that although comparisons with other sources are excellent, the critical loads are related to the second mode (SSSS), fourth mode (SCSC) and third mode (SSSC).
6. Conclusions

In this paper we presented a study using the local radial basis function–finite differences collocation method to analyse static deformations, free vibrations and buckling loads of thin and thick laminated plates using a variation of Murakami's zig-zag function, allowing for through-the-thickness deformations. This has not been done before and fills the gap of knowledge in this research area.

Using the Unified Formulation with the radial basis collocation, all the C0 plate formulations can be easily discretized by radial basis functions–finite differences collocation.

We analysed square cross-ply laminated plates in bending, free vibrations and buckling loads. The present results were compared with existing analytical solutions or competitive finite element solutions and excellent agreement was observed in all cases.
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Appendix A

The explicit expressions of fundamental nuclei for the equations of motion and boundary conditions, in weak form for the RBF collocation, are listed below:

\[
\mathbf{K}^{\text{RBF}}_{\text{col}} = \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} C_{13} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} C_{15} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} C_{35} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial z} C_{45} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} C_{55} \right) F_s F_s
\]

\[
\mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{\text{col}} = \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} C_{13} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} C_{15} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} C_{35} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial z} C_{45} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} C_{55} \right) F_s F_s
\]

\[
\Pi^{\text{RBF}}_{\text{col}} = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} C_{11} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} C_{12} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} C_{22} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial z} C_{32} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} C_{44} \right) F_s F_s
\]

where \( \Pi^{\text{RBF}}_{\text{col}} \) indicates the partial derivative and \( (n_1, n_2, n_3) \) are the components of the normal \( \mathbf{n} \) to the boundary of domain \( \Omega \), along the directions \( x, y, \) and \( z \), respectively.

In the dynamic case, the fundamental nuclei for the inertial term \( \mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}} \) is:

\[
\mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{21} = \rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}_s F_s, \quad \mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{31} = 0, \quad \mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{32} = 0
\]

\[
\mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{22} = \rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}_s, \quad \mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{33} = 0
\]

\[
\mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{32} = \rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}_s, \quad \mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{33} = 0
\]

\[
\mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{31} = 0, \quad \mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{32} = 0, \quad \mathbf{M}^{\text{RBF}}_{33} = \rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}_s
\]
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